

3.6 Factorising Arithmetic Functions.

Question If Dirichlet convolution “combines” arithmetic functions can we factor a given function into a convolution of “simpler” functions?

The same method as was used to show that $\zeta(s)$ has a Dirichlet Product can be used to prove the following.

Theorem 3.28 *If f is multiplicative and $D_f(s)$ is absolutely convergent at $s_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ then, for all $s : \operatorname{Re} s > \operatorname{Re} s_0$, the Euler Product*

$$\prod_p \left(1 + \frac{f(p)}{p^s} + \frac{f(p^2)}{p^{2s}} + \frac{f(p^3)}{p^{3s}} + \dots \right)$$

converges to $D_f(s)$.

Proof Left to student, but see appendix if stuck. ■

If f is multiplicative then Theorem 3.28 gives

$$D_f(s) = \prod_p \left(\sum_{\ell \geq 0} \frac{f(p^\ell)}{p^{\ell s}} \right), \quad (10)$$

for $\operatorname{Re} s > \operatorname{Re} s_0$, since $f(p^0) = f(1) = 1$. If, further, f is **completely** multiplicative then

$$D_f(s) = \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{f(p)}{p^s} \right)^{-1}$$

for $\operatorname{Re} s > \operatorname{Re} s_0$ and as long as $|f(p)/p^s| < 1$ for all primes p .

The idea of this method of factorisation is to write the Dirichlet Series as an Euler product and factor each term in the product.

In all our examples $f(p^\ell)$ will **not** depend on p , only ℓ , so we can write $a_\ell = f(p^\ell)$ for $\ell \geq 0$. Write $y = 1/p^s$ and the series within (10) becomes

$$\sum_{\ell \geq 0} a_\ell y^\ell. \quad (11)$$

The aim of this method is to write this series as product and quotient of terms of the form $1 - y^m$ for various integers $m \geq 1$. For if we have a factor of the form $(1 - y^m)^{-1}$, replacing y by $1/p^s$ we find a factor of the right hand side of (10) of

$$\prod_p \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^{ms}} \right)^{-1} = \zeta(ms).$$

Further, if the sum of (11) contains a factor of $1 - y^k$ for some $k \geq 1$, then on replacing y by $1/p^s$ we find a factor of the right hand side of (10) of

$$\prod_p \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^{ks}} \right) = \frac{1}{\zeta(ks)}.$$

As a way of illustrating this method:

Recall that Q_k is the characteristic function of the k -free integers.

Example 3.29 Show that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{Q_k(n)}{n^s} = \frac{\zeta(s)}{\zeta(ks)}$$

for $\operatorname{Re} s > 1$.

Solution The function Q_k is multiplicative so, without yet considering the regions of convergence for the Dirichlet Series, we have the Euler Product

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{Q_k(n)}{n^s} &= \prod_p \left(1 + \frac{Q_k(p)}{p^s} + \frac{Q_k(p^2)}{p^{2s}} + \frac{Q_k(p^3)}{p^{3s}} + \dots \right) \\ &= \prod_p \left(1 + \frac{1}{p^s} + \frac{1}{p^{2s}} + \dots + \frac{1}{p^{(k-1)s}} \right), \end{aligned}$$

since $Q_k(p^\ell) = 0$ for all $\ell \geq k$, and $= 1$ elsewhere. Write $y = 1/p^s$ when each bracket is a finite geometric sum of the form

$$1 + y + y^2 + \dots + y^{k-1} = \frac{1 - y^k}{1 - y}.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{Q_k(n)}{n^s} &= \prod_p \left(\frac{1 - 1/p^{ks}}{1 - 1/p^s} \right) \\ &= \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^s} \right)^{-1} \left(\prod_p \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^{ks}} \right)^{-1} \right)^{-1} \\ &= \frac{\zeta(s)}{\zeta(ks)}, \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

having used (7).

We can now consider convergence. Since the ζ -functions on the right hand side are absolutely convergent in $\operatorname{Re} s > 1$, the final result is valid in this half plane. ■

Note that

$$\frac{1}{\zeta(ks)} = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(m)}{m^{ks}} = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(m)}{(m^k)^s} = \sum_{\substack{n=1 \\ n=m^k}}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(m)}{n^s} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu_k(n)}{n^s}$$

where μ_k is given by

Definition 3.30

$$\mu_k(n) = \begin{cases} \mu(m) & \text{if } n = m^k, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The Möbius Function is μ_1 .

Example 3.29 shows that

$$D_{Q_k}(s) = \zeta(s) \frac{1}{\zeta(ks)} = D_1(s) D_{\mu_k}(s) = D_{1 * \mu_k}(s),$$

for $\operatorname{Re} s > 1$. This ‘suggests’

Example 3.31 For all $k \geq 2$, $Q_k = 1 * \mu_k$.

Solution Since Q_k , 1 and μ_k are all multiplicative it suffices to prove equality on prime powers. Consider

$$1 * \mu_k(p^a) = \sum_{0 \leq r \leq a} \mu_k(p^r). \quad (13)$$

The terms $\mu_k(p^r)$ can only be non-zero if $k|r$. And if $k|r$, so $r = k\ell$ for some ℓ , we have $\mu_k(p^r) = \mu(p^\ell)$ which is only non-zero when $\ell = 0$ or 1. Thus $\mu_k(p^r)$ is only non-zero when $r = 0$ or k . Therefore, if $a < k$ then the sum in (13) contains only one non-zero term, $\mu_k(p^0) = 1$. If $a \geq k$ then the sum contains two non-zero terms

$$\mu_k(p^0) + \mu_k(p^k) = 1 + \mu(p) = 1 - 1 = 0.$$

Hence

$$1 * \mu_k(p^a) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } a < k \\ 0 & \text{if } a \geq k \end{cases} = Q_k(p^a).$$

■

Note that when $k = 1$ we have seen that $Q_1 = \delta$, while $\mu_1 = \mu$ and so $Q_k = 1 * \mu_k$ reduces down to the Möbius inversion $\delta = 1 * \mu$.

The most important case of this example is $k = 2 : Q_2 = 1 * \mu_2$, which will be seen many times.

Example 3.32 Show, by looking at Euler Product of the Dirichlet Series on the left, that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2^{\omega(n)}}{n^s} = \frac{\zeta^2(s)}{\zeta(2s)}$$

for $\text{Re } s > 1$.

Solution The left hand side has the Euler product

$$\prod_p \left(1 + \frac{2}{p^s} + \frac{2}{p^{2s}} + \frac{2}{p^{3s}} + \frac{2}{p^{4s}} + \dots \right).$$

For $|y| < 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} 1 + 2y + 2y^2 + 2y^3 + \dots &= 1 + 2y(1 + y + y^2 + \dots) \\ &= 1 + \frac{2y}{1-y} \quad \text{on summing the geometric series} \\ &= \frac{1+y}{1-y} \\ &= \frac{1+y}{1-y} \times \frac{1+y}{1-y} = \frac{1-y^2}{(1-y)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2^{\omega(n)}}{n^s} = \prod_p \frac{1 - 1/p^{2s}}{(1 - 1/p^s)^2} = \frac{\zeta^2(s)}{\zeta(2s)}.$$

■

The result on 2^ω gives

$$D_{2^\omega}(s) = \zeta(s) \zeta(s) \frac{1}{\zeta(2s)} = D_1(s) D_1(s) D_{\mu_2}(s) = D_{1*1*\mu_2}(s),$$

for $\text{Re } s > 1$. This ‘suggests’

Example 3.33

$$2^\omega = 1 * 1 * \mu_2.$$

Solution See Problem Sheet.

This can be combined with the definition $d = 1 * 1$ or the result $Q_2 = 1 * \mu_2$ to give

$$2^\omega = d * \mu_2 = 1 * Q_2. \quad (14)$$

There are many such connections between Arithmetic functions, some of which are the content of questions on the Problem Sheet and all are collected on a page on the Course web site.

3.7 The decomposition of d^2

For an example in the next Section we need the decomposition of d^2 .

Example 3.34

$$D_{d^2}(s) = \frac{\zeta^4(s)}{\zeta(2s)},$$

for $\text{Re } s > 1$.

Solution We note that d^2 is a multiplicative function and $d^2(p^a) = (a+1)^2$ on prime powers. So the Dirichlet Series of d^2 has the Euler Product

$$D_{d^2}(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{d^2(n)}{n^s} = \prod_p \left(1 + \frac{4}{p^s} + \frac{9}{p^{2s}} + \frac{16}{p^{3s}} + \frac{25}{p^{4s}} + \dots \right),$$

for $\text{Re } s > 1$. To sum the series

$$S = 1 + 4y + 9y^2 + 16y^3 + 25y^4 + \dots + (a+1)^2 y^a + \dots,$$

for $|y| < 1$ consider, with not justification,

$$\begin{aligned}
S &= \frac{d}{dy}(y + 2y^2 + 3y^3 + 4y^4 + \dots) \\
&= \frac{d}{dy}(y(1 + 2y + 3y^2 + 4y^3 + \dots)) \\
&= \frac{d}{dy}\left(y\frac{d}{dy}(y + y^2 + y^3 + y^4 + \dots)\right) \\
&= \frac{d}{dy}\left(y\frac{d}{dy}\frac{y}{1-y}\right), \quad \text{on summing the geometric series,} \\
&= \frac{d}{dy}\left(\frac{y}{(1-y)^2}\right) \\
&= \frac{1+y}{(1-y)^3}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since we haven't justified the integrating and differentiating of infinite series term-by-term you need to check this result by expanding $(1+y)(1-y)^{-3}$ and getting the series you started with.

We are not quite finished for the formula for the sum needs to be written as a product and quotient of terms of the form $1 - y^m$, i.e. with a negative sign. So

$$S = \frac{1+y}{(1-y)^3} = \frac{1+y}{(1-y)^3} \times \frac{1-y}{1-y} = \frac{1-y^2}{(1-y)^4}.$$

Using this in each factor of the Euler Product for $D_{d^2}(s)$ gives

$$\begin{aligned}
D_{d^2}(s) &= \prod_p \frac{1 - 1/p^{2s}}{(1 - 1/p^s)^4} = \left(\prod_p \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^s}\right)^{-1}\right)^4 \left(\prod_p \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^{2s}}\right)^{-1}\right)^{-1} \\
&= \frac{\zeta^4(s)}{\zeta(2s)},
\end{aligned}$$

for $\text{Re } s > 1$. ■

From this,

$$D_{d^2}(s) = \frac{\zeta^4(s)}{\zeta(2s)} = \zeta^4(s) \frac{1}{\zeta(2s)} = D_1^4(s) D_{\mu_2}(s) = D_{1*1*1*1*\mu_2}(s).$$

for $\operatorname{Re} s > 1$. This ‘suggests’ the decomposition $d^2 = 1 * 1 * 1 * 1 * \mu_2$ or, because of Example 3.33, $d^2 = 1 * 1 * 2^\omega$. We prove this in two stages.

Example 3.35 For all $n \geq 1$, $1 * 2^\omega(n) = d(n^2)$.

Solution Since both sides are multiplicative it suffices to check equality on prime powers.

$$\begin{aligned} (1 * 2^\omega)(p^r) &= \sum_{a+b=r} 2^{\omega(p^b)} = \sum_{0 \leq b \leq r} 2^{\omega(p^b)} = 2^{\omega(p^0)} + \sum_{1 \leq b \leq r} 2^{\omega(p^b)} \\ &= 2^0 + \sum_{1 \leq b \leq r} 2 = 1 + 2r \\ &= d(p^{2r}). \end{aligned}$$

■

Notation For $n \geq 1$ let $g(n) = d(n^2)$. This is temporary notation for this course. Then $1 * 2^\omega = g$.

Example 3.36 $1 * g = d^2$.

Solution Since both sides are multiplicative it suffices to check equality on prime powers.

$$\begin{aligned} (1 * g)(p^r) &= \sum_{0 \leq b \leq r} g(p^b) = \sum_{0 \leq b \leq r} (2b + 1) \\ &= 2 \frac{r(r+1)}{2} + (r+1) \\ &= (r+1)^2 = d^2(p^r). \end{aligned}$$

■

Hence we have shown

Example 3.37

$$d^2 = 1 * 1 * 1 * 1 * \mu_2.$$

Euler's phi function

Recall the definition of Euler's phi function as

$$\phi(n) = \{1 \leq r \leq n, \gcd(r, n) = 1\}.$$

We 'pick out' the condition $\gcd(r, n) = 1$ using the δ function, for which $\delta(n) = 1$ if $n = 1$, zero otherwise. For then

$$\delta(\gcd(r, n)) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \gcd(r, n) = 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We can then use Möbius inversion, in the form $\delta(m) = \sum_{d|m} \mu(d)$ to get

Example 3.38 Show that Euler's phi function satisfies $\phi = \mu * j$, i.e.

$$\phi(n) = \sum_{d|n} \mu(d) \frac{n}{d}.$$

Solution

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(n) &= \sum_{\substack{r=1 \\ \gcd(r,n)=1}}^n 1 = \sum_{r=1}^n \delta(\gcd(r, n)) && \text{by definition of } \delta, \\ &= \sum_{r=1}^n \sum_{d|\gcd(r,n)} \mu(d) && \text{by Möbius inversion } \delta = 1 * \mu. \end{aligned}$$

Yet $d|\gcd(r, n)$ if, and only if, $d|r$ and $d|n$. Continuing

$$= \sum_{r=1}^n \sum_{\substack{d|r \\ d|n}} \mu(d) = \sum_{d|n} \mu(d) \sum_{\substack{r=1 \\ d|r}}^n 1.$$

on interchanging the summations. In this double summation we have that $d|n$, so $n = \ell d$ say, and we also have $d|r$, so $r = kd$ say. Thus in the inner sum we are counting the number of $k \geq 1$ for which $r \leq n$, i.e. $kd \leq \ell d$, that is, $k \leq \ell$. There are $\ell = n/d$ such values. Therefore this inner summation equals n/d and thus

$$\phi(n) = \sum_{d|n} \mu(d) \frac{n}{d}.$$

(Make sure you understand why this inner sum is *exactly* n/d).

■

Corollary 3.39 1. ϕ is multiplicative.

2.

$$\phi(n) = n \prod_{p|n} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)$$

3.

$$\sum_{d|n} \phi(d) = n.$$

Proof 1. Since μ and j are multiplicative we conclude that $\phi = \mu * j$ is multiplicative.

2. Looking at ϕ on prime powers

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(p^a) &= \sum_{d|p^a} \mu(d) \frac{p^a}{d} = \sum_{0 \leq k \leq a} \mu(p^k) p^{a-k} \\ &= \sum_{0 \leq k \leq 1} \mu(p^k) p^{a-k} \quad \text{since } \mu(p^k) = 0 \text{ for all } k \geq 2, \\ &= p^a - p^{a-1}. \end{aligned}$$

This actually should have been obvious from the definition, the only natural numbers $\leq p^a$, **not** coprime to p^a are the multiples of p of which there are p^{a-1} in number. So the number of natural numbers $\leq p^a$, **coprime** to p^a is the difference $p^a - p^{a-1}$.

Thus, since ϕ is multiplicative,

$$\phi(n) = \prod_{p^a || n} \phi(p^a) = \prod_{p^a || n} (p^a - p^{a-1}) = n \prod_{p|n} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right).$$

3. Start from $\phi = \mu * j$ and convolute both sides with 1,

$$\begin{aligned} 1 * \phi &= 1 * (\mu * j) \\ &= (1 * \mu) * j \quad \text{since } * \text{ is associative} \\ &= \delta * j \quad \text{by Mobius inversion, } 1 * \mu = \delta \\ &= j, \quad \text{since } \delta \text{ is the identity under } *. \end{aligned}$$

Then, by the definition of convolution, $1 * \phi = j$ means

$$\sum_{d|n} \phi(d) = \sum_{d|n} \phi(d) 1\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) = j(n) = n.$$

■

Finally, we saw an important arithmetic function earlier in the course, namely von Mangoldt's function $\Lambda(n)$ defined to be $\log p$ when n is a power of the prime p , zero otherwise. We have not studied it here because it is not multiplicative.

The important result of Λ was

$$\sum_{d|n} \Lambda(d) = \log n, \tag{15}$$

which was introduced without motivation. But where did it come from?

If we write $\ell(n) = \log n$ we can see that the result is the convolution $\Lambda * 1 = \ell$. Then formally we can consider

$$\begin{aligned} D_{\Lambda * 1}(s) &= D_{\Lambda}(s) D_1(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Lambda(n)}{n^s} \zeta(s) = -\frac{\zeta'(s)}{\zeta(s)} \zeta(s) \\ &= -\zeta'(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\log n}{n^s} \\ &= D_{\ell}(s). \end{aligned}$$

This suggests $\Lambda * 1 = \ell$, i.e. (15). Möbius inversion applied to (15) gives $\Lambda = \mu * \ell$, i.e.

$$\Lambda(n) = \sum_{d|n} \mu(d) \log \left(\frac{n}{d} \right).$$